



# Reporting template for subgrantees

**Subgrantee reporting 2024-2025** 

**CKIC, IIED Europe** 

This document covers applications for subgrant funding under Horizon Europe, grant number: 101093942.



## **About this document**

This document provides a view-only version of the reporting template in use by P2R's subgrantees.

- Reporting must be performed via the platform provided.
- The numbered **Bold Headers** indicate the tab names in the reporting form.
- The *italicized text* indicates instructions/help text.
- \*Indicates a required question.

# Preparing to fill out the reporting template

Thank you for taking the time to fill in this reporting template. The aim of this reporting process is to gather feedback on progress made within the sub-grantee project work that your region is conducting in the context of the Pathways2Resilience project. Your response is vital for us to understand the progress made, successes and challenges you have encountered, what we might be able to learn from your experience and how we might be able to improve the support we provide to sub-grantees. Please remember that there are no wrong answers – your honest feedback is what matters most.

When completing the reporting template, work collaboratively with key roles within your region who have been involved in your regions project. You may want to adjust who fills in the reporting template based on areas of expertise most relevant to the different sections as well as discuss your responses in order to provide feedback that is as accurate as possible.

### **Timelines**

The reporting template will be adapted slightly to each reporting period:

Reporting Period	Report Due Date	Deliverable(s) expected
Months 1-9 (1	Month 11 (July 2025)	Baseline assessment
September 2024 –		
31 May 2025)		
Months 10-18 (1	Month 20 (April 2026)	Climate Resilience Strategy, Climate
June 2025 – 28	, -	Resilience Action Plan, Climate Resilience
February 2026)		Investment Plan

Timings are relevant for the first batch of P2R subgrantees.



#### 1 START HERE

1. *Project Number	Drop down list of registered subgrantee numbers.
Indicate which subgrantee project is reporting.	
2. *Reporting period	Drop down list:
	(a) First reporting (corresponding to the first 9 months of the project)
Select the relevant period for the reporting	(b) Second reporting (corresponding to the last 9 months of the project)
3. Brief Description	Text box. Max 250 characters.
Update, if needed, the brief description of this project. This information may be	
used to share award information publicly. Max 250 characters.	

#### 2 DELIVERABLE PROGRESS

This section serves to indicate the progress in each of the deliverables and submit supporting documents.

4. *Baseline assessment progress summary	Text box. Maximum 5000 characters.
Can you tell us about the main activities that you've been conducting to	
complete your baseline assessment, progress you have made, challenges that	
you have encountered and how you plan to address them. Is this document	
publicly available? If so, please provide evidence that it has been shared publicly.	
5. *Baseline assessment upload	Upload option (PDF)
Provide the relevant document (see template).	
	Do you consent to sharing the file publicly on the P2R website? [Yes/No]



#### **3 PROGRESS IMPACT**

This section serves to indicate the progress in each of the impact areas.

Summary of progress	
12. *Can you tell us about the progress you have made since starting your work with P2R? What significant changes have you observed? Would you describe any of these as transformative and why?	Text box. Maximum 5000 characters.
13. *Describe the new or improved processes, practices, and policies implemented since your engagement with P2R, including their purpose and expected outcomes. Where applicable, provide quantitative details (e.g., the number of processes or policies introduced) and examples to illustrate their impact.	Text box. Maximum 5000 characters.
14. What insights have you gained through your engagement with P2R, and how have you applied these learnings to advance climate adaptation efforts in your region?	Text box. Maximum 5000 characters.
Challenges, needs and next steps	
15. What key challenges have you encountered in implementing the activities of the Regional Resilience Journey (RRJ)? How have you addressed or plan to address these challenges?	Text box. Maximum 5000 characters.
16. Have your local adaptation needs changed since you began engaging with the RRJ? If yes, please explain, including how significant these changes are, and what factors drive them (particularly identifying if these are internal or external drivers)	Text box. Maximum 5000 characters.





17. What will your next steps relating to the RRJ be? Mention concrete and context specific actions you will take in the next 6 months.	Text box. Maximu	m 5000 cl	haracters.					
18. Out of all the RRJ steps, which ones do you								
prioritise the most?		la 1	<u> </u>	lo	14	le 11:1	T/ A1 1	D N/A
		1 - Low		3 –	4 -	_	6 – Already	
Choose the priority level that you're giving to		priority	Relatively	Medium	Relatively	priority	implemented	
each RRJ step. A higher number is a higher			low	priority	high			Know
priority.			priority		priority			
	1.1 Establish a							
	baseline							
	baseline							
	1.2 Understand							
	the System							
	1.3 Assess Risk							
	and Capabilities							
	2.1.5							_
	2.1 Ensure							
	ownership and							
	commitment							
	2.2 Explore							
	possible future							
	0.0.6							
	2.3 Co-create a							
	shared vision						<u> </u>	
	2.4 Develop a							
	theory of change							-
	3.1 Identify and							
	Assess options							





19. Have these priorities changed as you have	3.2 Design a portfolio of interventions 3.3 Preparing for implementation							
progressed in the implementation of your project, and if so, why?								
Regional engagement in Pathways2Resilience								
20. Number of team members involved in the programme delivery and their job titles.								
21. What training sessions they joined and the job titles of those who joined.								
22. Number of drop-in sessions and job titles of those who joined.								
Stakeholder Engagement								
23. *Number of stakeholder events held where members of the local community could participate (forums, consultations, trainings, etc).	Text box. Maxim	um 500 chara	cter					
24. Indicate number of participants to stakeholder events held (structure by stakeholder type, age,	Stakeholder type	Age group (optional)	Male	F	emale	Other	Tota	l
gender).	[drop down list of stakeholder types]	[drop down list of age groups]						
27. *Include specific organisation names and stakeholder types and their collaboration status,	[Stakeholder typ	es: governmer	t, civil socie	ty, local co	mmunity, acc	ademia, the	e private secto	or]





mention whether these are new or pre-existing	
collaborations and what is their scope.	Text box. Maximum 5000 characters.
a. Include the role that each organisation played	
in specific activities and/or events (e.g.: participant,	
co-organiser, expert, promoter, etc)	
28. *Highlight how through stakeholder	Text box. Maximum 2500 characters.
engagement you reached new regional actors	
that were key for your resilience journey.	
29. Which stakeholders have you been unable to	Text box. Maximum 2500 characters.
engage sufficiently, and what barriers have	
prevented their involvement?	
30. Have local stakeholders participated in in the	Text box. Maximum 5000 characters.
capacity building activities delivered by P2R?	
Please indicate who, when and in what role.	
31. Has your Climate Resilience Strategy been	Text box. Maximum 5000 characters.
developed by one team within your	
administration, various teams or have you	
collaborated with non-governmental actors to	
develop it?	
32. Has the RRJ enabled you to engage team	
members and or departments successfully to	
collaborate in creating the Climate Resilience	
Strategy and Action Plan? If so, please can you	
describe how and when the engagement	
happened and what departments/roles have	
you managed to engage? If you've not been	
successful in engaging new teams/roles, please	
can you explain what barriers you encountered?	
Just resilience <sup>1</sup>	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Just Resilience is referred to in the EU Adaptation Strategy, highlighting that climate change affects various social groups unevenly and stressing the importance of building resilience in an equitable manner to ensure fair access to the benefits of adaptation measures. Read more here: <a href="https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/just-resilience-leaving-no-one-behind">https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/just-resilience-leaving-no-one-behind</a> also see the EEA's 2023 report on Just Resilience for Europe: Towards measuring justice in climate change adaptation.





22 *\A/hat atana haya haan takan ta addus si this	Tout how Marinerum FOOO -1	at a va	
33. *What steps have been taken to address the	Text box. Maximum 5000 charac	cters.	
issue of 'uneven burdens,' which refers to the			
unequal distribution of climate impacts and			
risks on social groups caused by disparities in			
hazard exposure, pre-existing inequalities, and			
differences in adaptive capacities and			
capabilities—including socio-economic,			
historical, and intersectional injustices?			
34. *What steps have been taken to implement the	Text box. Maximum 5000 charac	cters.	
adaptation action of 'Leaving no one behind,'			
which focuses on ensuring the fair distribution			
of benefits and burdens of adaptation responses			
among social groups, promoting transparent			
processes, equitable political power, and			
inclusive participation in policymaking, while			
avoiding maladaptive behaviour and addressing			
the root causes of existing inequalities?			
Knowledge Sharing			
35. *For the relevant period, please list all the			
relevant instances of knowledge exchange	Type of exchange	Number of regions engaged	Description (inc. your role)
outside of your region (e.g.: best practices, peer		Number of regions engaged	Description (inc. your role)
visits, working groups, workshops, conferences),	[drop down options] *		
describe your role in them, highlight the main			
, , ,	*-		
outcomes, and specify the number of		ence, bilateral meeting, worksho	
regions/communities involved.	community of practice, case stu	udy/best practices exchange, int	ernship, other
36. Since engaging with P2R, what networks,	Text box. Maximum 2500 charac	cters.	
platforms and initiatives have been relevant to	15.00 25.00 Flavillani 25.00 Charac		
your adaptation work (e.g.: NetZeroCities,			
weADAPT, European Climate Adaptation			
Platform - Climate-ADAPT)?			
·			
Financial resources			





<ul> <li>37. Extent to which the finance and resources that you secured from the P2R project are useful to your needs.</li> <li>38. *Describe the region/community's resource mobilization plan beyond the subgrant budget.</li> </ul>	5 point Likert scale range [very useful – not at all useful]  Text box. Maximum 2500 characters  Text box. Maximum 5000 characters.		
39. *Tell us how P2R support has been instrumental in your funding efforts:  a. Has there been an increase in the number of funding applications in relation to the previous 5 years?  b. Has your region used new financial instruments or received new sources of funding in relation to the previous 5 years?	Text box. Maximum 2500 charac	ters.	
40. *Describe your engagement with sources of finance (e.g.: investment funds or banks) in the reporting period?  a. How many funding sources have been engaged?  b. How many of them are public?  c. How many of them are private?	Funding source name Text. Maximum 50 characters; infinite rows	Public or Private? Text. Maximum 50 characters; infinite rows	
41. *Describe the extent to which financing for your Adaptation Strategy (or Action Plan) is already secured. Include capital sources and instruments	Text box. Maximum 2500 charac	ters.	





#### 4 FEEDBACK

This section serves to provide feedback on the usefulness of P2R support and deliverables.

42. To what extent are you satisfied with the overall support you've received from the P2R project?	5 point Likert scale range [very satisfied – very unsatisfied]
	Text box. Maximum 3000 characters.
43. *To what extent did you find the guidance documents useful?	5 point Likert scale range [very useful – not at all useful]
Provide overall feedback on the usefulness of the guidance documents and	
frameworks (e.g.: RRJ, AIC) so far using the likert scale and text box.	Text box. Maximum 3000 characters.
44. *To what extent did you find the Capacity Building sessions useful?	5 point Likert scale range [very useful – not at all useful]
Provide overall feedback on the usefulness of the online lessons and sessions so far.	Text box. Maximum 3000 characters.
45. *To what extent did you find the toolbox useful?	5 point Likert scale range [very useful – not at all useful]
Provide overall feedback on the usefulness of the online toolbox, guidance materials and templates so far.	Text box. Maximum 3000 characters.
46. *To what extent did you find the Innovation Practice Group useful?	5 point Likert scale range [very useful – not at all useful]
Provide overall feedback on the quality/usefulness of the Innovation Practice Group (please specify which IPG).	Text box. Maximum 3000 characters.
47. *To what extent did you find the drop-in sessions useful?	5 point Likert scale range [very useful – not at all useful]
Provide overall feedback on the usefulness or the drop-in sessions	Text box. Maximum 3000 characters.
48. Could you tell us what the most helpful aspects of the support provision have been and what you would like us to improve?	Text box. Maximum 3000 characters.
49. Could you tell us about your experience using this reporting template? Is there anything you would like us to improve?	Text box. Maximum 3000 characters.





#### 5 Budgets

#### **Consortium Partner Budgets**

Same system as in your application form, but now only for actual costs.

Please add individual budget lines to the table below, for each consortium partner. The summary tables below will show the developing budget by:

total costs per cost type by Consortium Partner;

total costs per cost type by Work Package; and

total costs per cost type by Work Package and Consortium Partner.

Use the Refresh button above to update the summary tables.

Edit	Partner	WP	Cost Category	Amount (EUR)	Budget Justification
		Activities to develop deliverables			
		Stakeholder engagement			
		Capacity building			
		Communication & dissemination			
		Exchanges			
		Monitoring & Evaluation			
		Project Management			
		Other			

COST BY PARTNER				
	Grand Total	Auto-populated after refresh		

#### COST BY WORK PACKAGE





Grand Total	Auto-populated after refresh
COST BY WORK PACKAGE AND P	ARTNER
Grand Total	Auto-populated after refresh

